Selection criteria

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA LCF APPLICATIONS

Title of the project		
Applicant organisation		

Administrative assessment / Compulsory requirements		
Criteria	Assessment	Comments
Compulsory requirements	Pass or fail	
Application arrived in time.		
Application is signed.		
Applicant is a registered organisation.		
Applicant is an FLC eligible organization.		
Application includes a project proposal with a budget, results framework and work plan.		
Project is ODA eligible.		
Project output contributes to the expected outcome of the LCF focal sector / theme.		
Activities to be funded are at least Human Rights sensitive.		
Summary: PASS in ALL items above?		*(If the application does not pass in all the items above, there will be no technical assessment)*

Technical assessment		
Assessment criteria for scoring	Competitive scoring	Comments
Project outputs are clearly defined and include indicators, baseline data, risks, and risk management. Max 20		
Project activities are relevant, realistic and contribute to the desired outputs. Max 20		

Project beneficiaries or other organisations have participated in the preparation and design of the project. Max 10		
Project budget is in line with the activities. Max 5		
Project management and key persons are adequate for the desired outputs. Max 10		
Applicant has previous experience of project management. Max 10		
Applicant has previous experience in the same sector / theme. Max 10		
Project applies Human Rights Based Approach and takes Cross-Cutting Objectives into consideration. Max 15	*[Add scores from the HRBA and CCOs assessment below]*	
Total score Max 100		

Assessment of the Human Rights Based Approach (HRBA) and Cross-Cutting Objectives (CCOs)

Issues	Yes	No	Comments
Has it been assured in the application that the project does not have negative effects on Human Rights or contribute to discriminatory structures, norms and practices?			
Have Human Rights been integrated in the project's outputs, indicators and activities?			
Does the project aim at strengthening Human Rights as part of the expected results?			
Does the application indicate the integration of the Human Rights principles (universality, equality / non-discrimination, participation / inclusion, and accountability and transparency) in planning, implementation and monitoring of the project?			
Have the rights-holders and duty-bearers and their capacity gaps been identified in the application?			
Does the application indicate whether both the rights-holders and duty-bearers have been consulted or participated in the planning process of the project?			
Does the project aim at strengthening capacities of the rights-holders and duty-bearers as part of the expected results?			

Have groups in vulnerable situations been identified among the project beneficiaries?			
Have the needs and rights of the groups in vulnerable situations been addressed in the application?			
Have barriers for participation, inclusion and empowerment of rights- holders among project beneficiaries been taken in account in the project design?			
Does the application indicate the assessment of the gender situation (including distribution of resources and power, gender roles, norms and values, participation in project activities and decision making, discrimination and gender based violence) among project beneficiaries?			
Have the gender issues above been addressed in the project design?			
Has the application identified advocacy objectives for Human Rights and gender equality?			
Have any climate related risks been identified in the application that the project should take in account?			
Does the application indicate any measures to mitigate the possible climate related risks?			
Summary of the evaluation	Total max 15	Points	
Every "Yes" is 1 point.	15		