**MODEL ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FOR FLC APPLICATIONS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title or application number of the project** |  | |
| **Partner organization** |  | |
| **Administrative assessment / Compulsory requirements** | | |
| **Criteria** | **Assessment** | **Comments** |
| **Compulsory requirements** | **Pass or fail** |  |
| Application arrived in time. | YES |  |
| Application is signed. | NO |  |
| Applicant is a registered organisation. | YES |  |
| Applicant is an FLC eligible organization. | YES |  |
| Application includes a project plan with a budget and a results chain or results framework / logframe. | YES | Project plan: Yes  Budget: Yes  Results Framework: Yes |
| Project is ODA eligible. | YES |  |
| Project output contributes to the expected outcome of the FLC Programme's sector / theme in question. | YES | ***Outputs:***  *FLC* ***Outcome***: An increased number of children in the most marginalized areas **attend quality educational activities, parents are supported, and children are better equipped to enter formal education** |
| Activities to be funded are at least Human Rights sensitive. | YES  (capacity building, advocacy, HR assessment, not contributing to discriminatory structures/ norms) |  |
| Project beneficiaries have participated in the preparation and design of the project. |  |  |
| **Summary**: PASS**?** | **YES** | *(If the application does not pass in all the items above, you don’t have to continue for the technical assessment)* |
| **Technical assessment** | | |
| **Assessment criteria for scoring** | **Competitive scoring** | **Comments** |
| Project outputs are clearly defined and include indicators, baseline data, risks, and risk management.  **Max 20** |  | ***OUTPUTs:***  ***Indicators***:  ***Baseline data***  ***Risks:***  ***Risk management:*** |
| Project activities are relevant, realistic and contribute to the desired outputs.  **Max 20** |  | **Activities:**  ***Outputs*** |
| Project budget is in line with the activities.  **Max 10** |  |  |
| Project management and key persons are adequate for the desired outputs.  **Max 15** |  |  |
| Applicant has previous experience of project management.  **Max 10** |  |  |
| Applicant has previous experience in the same sector / theme.  **Max 10** |  |  |
| Project applies Human Rights Based Approach and takes Cross-Cutting Objectives into consideration.  **Max 15** |  | **HRBA**: HR commitments & principles integrated into addressing root causes for inequalities.  **CCO**: reducing inequalities, gender mainstreaming, climate sustainability)  **Wide consultation** preceding (with women and vulnerable groups, considering the gaps);  **Data and baseline information** including capacity gaps. Disaggregated data to extent possible. |
| **Total score**  **Max 100** |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment of the Human Rights Based Approach (HRBA) and Cross-Cutting Objectives (CCOs)** | | | | |
| **Issues** | | **Yes** | **No** | **Comments** |
| Has it been assured in the application that the project does not have negative effects on Human Rights or contribute to discriminatory structures, norms and practices? | |  |  |  |
| Have Human Rights been integrated in the project's outputs, indicators and activities? | |  |  | ***Outputs***  ***Indicators:***  ***Activities:*** |
| Does the project aim at strengthening Human Rights as part of the expected results? | |  |  |  |
| Does the application indicate the integration of the Human Rights principles (universality, equality / non-discrimination, participation / inclusion, and accountability and transparency) in planning, implementation and monitoring of the project? | |  |  |  |
| Have the rights-holders and duty-bearers and their capacity gaps been identified in the application? | |  |  |  |
| Does the application indicate whether both the rights-holders and duty-bearers have been consulted or participated in the planning process of the project? | |  |  |  |
| Does the project aim at strengthening capacities of the rights-holders and duty-bearers as part of the expected results? | |  |  |  |
| Have groups in vulnerable situations been identified among the project beneficiaries? | |  |  |  |
| Have the needs and rights of the groups in vulnerable situations been addressed in the application? | |  |  |  |
| Have barriers for participation, inclusion and empowerment of rights- holders among project beneficiaries been taken in account in the project design? | |  |  |  |
| Does the application indicate the assessment of the gender situation (including distribution of resources and power, gender roles, norms and values, participation in project activities and decision making, discrimination and gender based violence) among project beneficiaries? | |  |  |  |
| Have the gender issues above been addressed in the project design? | |  |  |  |
| Has the application identified advocacy objectives for Human Rights and gender equality? | |  |  |  |
| Have any climate related risks been identified in the application that the project should take in account? | |  |  |  |
| Does the application indicate any measures to mitigate the possible climate related risks? | |  |  |  |
|  | | | | |
| **Summary of the evaluation** | **Total max 15** | **Points** | |  |
| Every "Yes" is 1 point. | 15 |  | |  |